arXiv:1407.2680v1 [math.CO] 10 Jul 2014

# On the minimal energy of conjugated unicyclic graphs with maximum degree at most 3

Hongping Ma[1], Yongqiang Bai[1*], Shengjin Ji[2]

[1] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China

[2] School of Science, Shangdong University of Technology, Zibo 255049, China

Email: hpma@163.com, bmbai@163.com, jishengjin2013@163.com

**Abstract**

The energy of a graph $G$, denoted by $E(G)$, is defined as the sum of the absolute values of all eigenvalues of $G$. Let $n$ be an even number and $\mathbb{U}_n$ be the set of all conjugated unicyclic graphs of order $n$ with maximum degree at most 3. Let $S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}$ be the radialene graph obtained by attaching a pendant edge to each vertex of the cycle $C_{\frac{n}{2}}$. In [Y. Cao et al., On the minimal energy of unicyclic Hückel molecular graphs possessing Kekulé structures, Discrete Appl. Math. 157 (5) (2009), 913–919], Cao et al. showed that if $n \geq 8$, $S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \ncong G \in \mathbb{U}_n$ and the girth of $G$ is not divisible by 4, then $E(G) > E(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}})$. Let $A_n$ be the unicyclic graph obtained by attaching a 4-cycle to one of the two leaf vertices of the path $P_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ and a pendent edge to each other vertices of $P_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$. In this paper, we prove that $A_n$ is the unique unicyclic graph in $\mathbb{U}_n$ with minimal energy.

**Keywords:** Minimal energy; Unicyclic graph; Perfect matching; Characteristic polynomial; Degree

**AMS Subject Classification 2000:** 15A18; 05C50; 05C90; 92E10

## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a simple graph with $n$ vertices and $A(G)$ the adjacency matrix of $G$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ of $A(G)$ are said to be the eigenvalues of the graph $G$. The energy of $G$ is defined as

$$E = E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|.$$

This concept was intensively studied in chemistry, since it can be used to approximate the total $\pi$-electron energy of a molecular. Further details on the mathematical

*Corresponding author.

properties and chemical applications of $E(G)$, see the recent book [17], reviews [8, 9], and papers [1, 4, 5, 6, 18, 22, 29].

One of the fundamental question that is encountered in the study of graph energy is which graphs (from a given class) have minimal and maximal energies. A large of number of papers were published on such extremal problems, especially for various subclasses of trees and unicyclic graphs, see Chapter 7 in [17]. A conjugated unicyclic graph is a connected graph with one unique cycle that has a perfect matching. The problem of determining the conjugated unicyclic graph with minimal energy has been considered in [19, 25], and Li et al. [19] proved that the conjugated unicyclic graph of order (even) $n$ with minimal energy is $U_1$ or $U_2$, as shown in Figure 1. It has been shown that $E(U_1) < E(U_2)$ by Li and Li [16]. Recently, results on ordering of conjugated unicyclic graphs by minimal energies have been extended in [24, 29]. In particular, $U_2$ is unique conjugated unicyclic graph of order $n$ with second-minimal energy.




Figure 1: The conjugated unicyclic graphs with minimal and second-minimal energy.

The degree of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$ is denoted by $d_G(v)$. Denote by $\Delta$ the maximum degree of a graph. From now on, let $n$ be an even number. Let $\mathbb{U}_n$ be the set of all conjugated unicyclic graphs of order $n$ with $\Delta \leq 3$. Let $G \in \mathbb{U}_n$, the length of the unique cycle of $G$ is denoted by $g(G)$, or simply $g$, and the unique cycle of $G$ is denoted by $C_g(G)$, or simply $C_g$. Let $S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}$ be the radialene graph obtained by attaching a pendant edge to each vertex of the cycle $C_{\frac{n}{2}}$. Wang et al. [26] showed the following results: Assume that $n \geq 6$ and $S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \ncong G \in \mathbb{U}_n$. Then if one of the following conditions holds: (i) $\frac{n}{2} \equiv g \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ and $g \leq \frac{n}{2}$, (ii) $g \not\equiv \frac{n}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, (iii) $\frac{n}{2} \equiv g \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, and $g \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then $E(G) > E(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}})$. Y. Cao et al. [2] improved the above results by proving the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** [2] *If $n \geq 8$, $S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \ncong G \in \mathbb{U}_n$ with $g \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, then $E(G) > E(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}})$.*

Let $A_n$, $B_n$, $D_n$ and $E_n$ be the graphs shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Four graphs in $\mathbb{U}_n$.

In this paper, we completely characterize the graph with minimal energy in $\mathbb{U}_n$ by showing the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *$A_n$ is the unique unicyclic graph in $\mathbb{U}_n$ with minimal energy for $n \geq 8$.*

# 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce some notations and properties which are need in the sequel. Then we give some results on the energies of graphs $A_n$, $B_n$, $D_n$, $E_n$ and $S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Let $G$ be a graph of order $k$. The characteristic polynomial of $A(G)$ is also called the characteristic polynomial of $G$, denoted by $\phi(G,x) = \det(xI - A(G)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i(G)x^{k-i}$. Using these coefficients of $\phi(G,x)$, the energy of $G$ can be expressed as the Coulson integral formula [10]:

$$E(G) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \ln\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^i a_{2i}(G)x^{2i}\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}(-1)^i a_{2i+1}(G)x^{2i+1}\right)^2\right] dx. \quad (1)$$

Write $b_i(G) = |a_i(G)|$. Clearly, $b_0(G) = 1$, $b_1(G) = 0$, and $b_2(G)$ equals the number of edges of $G$. For unicyclic graphs or bipartite graphs, it can be shown [10, 11] that

$$E(G) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \ln\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} b_{2i}(G)x^{2i}\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} b_{2i+1}(G)x^{2i+1}\right)^2\right] dx. \quad (2)$$

By formula (2), it is convenient to introduce the following quasi-order relation [23]: if $G_1$ and $G_2$ are two unicyclic or bipartite graphs with $k$ vertices, then

$$G_1 \succeq G_2 \Leftrightarrow b_i(G_1) \geq b_i(G_2) \text{ for all } i = 2, \ldots, k.$$

If $G_1 \succeq G_2$ and there exists some $j$ such that $b_j(G_1) > b_j(G_2)$, then we write $G_1 \succ G_2$. Clearly, $G_1 \succeq G_2 \Rightarrow E(G_1) \geq E(G_2)$, and $G_1 \succ G_2 \Rightarrow E(G_1) > E(G_2)$. It is known

[3] that $b_{2i+1}(G) = 0$ for a bipartite graph $G$, and $b_{2i}(G)$ equals the number of $i$-matchings of $G$ if $G$ is a tree.

**Lemma 2.1.** [20] *Let $G$ be a graph whose components are all trees except at most one being a unicyclic graph.*

*(1) If $G$ contains exactly one cycle $C_g$, and $uv$ is an edge on this cycle, then*

$$b_i(G) = b_i(G-uv) + b_{i-2}(G-u-v) - 2b_{i-g}(G-C_g) \text{ if } g \equiv 0 \pmod 4,$$
$$b_i(G) = b_i(G-uv) + b_{i-2}(G-u-v) + 2b_{i-g}(G-C_g) \text{ if } g \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4.$$

*(2) If $uv$ is a cut edge of $G$, then*

$$b_i(G) = b_i(G-uv) + b_{i-2}(G-u-v).$$

*In particular, if $uv$ is a pendent edge with pendent vertex $u$, then*

$$b_i(G) = b_i(G-u) + b_{i-2}(G-u-v).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [7] *Let $T$ be a tree on $n$ vertices. If $T$ is different from the path $P_n$ and the star $S_n$, then $P_n \succ T \succ S_n$.*

Let $T$ be a tree of order $n \geq 3$, $e = uv$ be a non-pendent edge of $T$. Denote by $T_1$ and $T_2$ the two components of $T-e$ with $u \in T_1$ and $v \in T_2$. If $T'$ is the tree obtained from $T$ by contracting the edge $e = uv$ and attaching a pendent vertex to the vertex $u$ $(= v)$, we say that $T'$ is obtained from $T$ by edge-growing transformation (on edge $e = uv$), or e.g.t (on edge $e = uv$) for short [27, 21].

**Lemma 2.3.** [21] *If $T'$ is obtained from $T$ by one step of e.g.t, then $T \succ T'$.*

**Lemma 2.4.** [23] *Let $G$ be a unicyclic or bipartite graph, $uv$ be a cut edge of $G$. Then $G \succ G - uv$.*

**Lemma 2.5.** [23] *Let $G$ be a unicyclic or bipartite graph, $v$ be a non-isolated vertex in $G$, and $K_1$ be the trivial graph of order 1. Then $G \succ (G-v) \cup K_1$.*

Let $F_n$ and $H_{n+1}$ be the graphs shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: The graphs $F_n$ and $H_{n+1}$.

**Lemma 2.6.** [28] *Among conjugated trees of order $n$ with $\Delta \leq 3$, $F_n$ has minimal energy.*

**Lemma 2.7.** *For $A_n$, $B_n$, $D_n$ and $E_n$, we have that*

*(1)* $b_{2i}(A_n) = b_{2i}(F_n) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-2}) - 2b_{2i-4}(F_{n-4}) = b_{2i}(H_{n-1}) + 2b_{2i-2}(H_{n-3})$.

*(2)* $b_{2i}(B_n) = b_{2i}(A_n) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8})$.

*(3)*
$$\begin{aligned}
&b_{2i}(A_n) = b_{2i}(A_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(A_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(A_{n-4}) \ (n \geq 8),\\
&b_{2i}(B_n) = b_{2i}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-4}) \ (n \geq 10),\\
&b_{2i}(D_n) = b_{2i}(D_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(D_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(D_{n-4}) \ (n \geq 10),\\
&b_{2i}(E_n) = b_{2i}(E_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(E_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(E_{n-4}) \ (n \geq 12).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (1) By Lemma 2.1 (1) and (2), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2i}(A_n) &= b_{2i}(F_n) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-2}) - 2b_{2i-4}(F_{n-4})\\
&= b_{2i}(H_{n-1}) + 2b_{2i-2}(H_{n-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) By Lemma 2.1 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2i}(B_n) &= b_{2i}(K_2 \cup A_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(H_{n-3})\\
&= b_{2i}(K_2 \cup A_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-4}) + b_{2i-4}(F_{n-6}),\\
b_{2i}(A_n) &= b_{2i}(K_2 \cup A_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(A_{n-4})\\
&= b_{2i}(K_2 \cup A_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-4}) + b_{2i-4}(F_{n-6}) - 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $b_{2i}(B_n) = b_{2i}(A_n) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8})$.

(3) The results directly follow from Lemma 2.1 (2). ∎

**Theorem 2.8.** *$B_n \succ A_n$ for $n \geq 8$.*

*Proof.* It is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(B_8, x) &= x^8 - 8x^6 + 16x^4 - 8x^2,\\
\phi(A_8, x) &= x^8 - 8x^6 + 16x^4 - 6x^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So $B_8 \succ A_8$. Suppose $n > 8$. By Lemma 2.7, $b_{2i}(B_n) - b_{2i}(A_n) = 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}) \geq 0$ and $b_8(B_n) > b_8(A_n)$. So $B_n \succ A_n$ for $n > 8$. The proof is thus complete. ∎

**Theorem 2.9.** *$D_8 \succ E_8$ and $E_n \succ D_n$ for $n \geq 10$.*

*Proof.* It is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(E_8, x) = x^8 - 8x^6 + 14x^4 - 8x^2 + 1,\\
&\phi(D_8, x) = x^8 - 8x^6 + 15x^4 - 8x^2 + 1,\\
&\phi(E_{10}, x) = x^{10} - 10x^8 + 29x^6 - 31x^4 + 12x^2 - 1,\\
&\phi(D_{10}, x) = x^{10} - 10x^8 + 29x^6 - 28x^4 + 10x^2 - 1,\\
&\phi(E_{12}, x) = x^{12} - 12x^{10} + 47x^8 - 74x^6 + 51x^4 - 14x^2 + 1,\\
&\phi(D_{12}, x) = x^{12} - 12x^{10} + 47x^8 - 72x^6 + 46x^4 - 12x^2 + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

So $D_8 \succ E_8$, $E_{10} \succ D_{10}$ and $E_{12} \succ D_{12}$. Suppose $n > 12$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$b_{2i}(E_n) = b_{2i}(E_{12} \cup F_{n-12}) + b_{2i-2}(E_{10} \cup F_{n-14}),$$
$$b_{2i}(D_n) = b_{2i}(D_{12} \cup F_{n-12}) + b_{2i-2}(D_{10} \cup E_{F-14}).$$

Hence we have $E_n \succ D_n$ for $n > 12$. The proof is thus complete. ∎

**Theorem 2.10.** *$E(S_8^4) > E(B_8)$ and $S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \succ B_n$ for $n \geq 10$.*

*Proof.* It is easy to obtain that $9.65685 \doteq E(S_8^4) > E(B_8) \doteq 9.15298$. Suppose $n \geq 10$. Since $b_{2i+1}(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}) \geq 0 = b_{2i+1}(B_n)$, we only need to consider $b_{2i}(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}})$ and $b_{2i}(B_n)$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.7,

$$b_{2i}(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}) = \begin{cases} b_{2i}(F_n) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-4}) - 2b_{2i-\frac{n}{2}}(\frac{n}{2}K_1), & \text{if } g \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ b_{2i}(F_n) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-4}) + 2b_{2i-\frac{n}{2}}(\frac{n}{2}K_1), & \text{if } g \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4 \end{cases},$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i}(B_n) &= b_{2i}(F_n) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-2}) - 2b_{2i-4}(F_{n-4}) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}) \\ &= b_{2i}(F_n) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-4}) + b_{2i-4}(F_{n-6}) - b_{2i-4}(F_{n-4}) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}) \\ &= b_{2i}(F_n) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-4}) - b_{2i-6}(F_{n-6}) + b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}) \\ &= b_{2i}(F_n) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-4}) - b_{2i-8}(F_{n-8}) - b_{2i-8}(F_{n-10}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$b_{2i-\frac{n}{2}}(\frac{n}{2}K_1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 2i = \frac{n}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

we have $b_{2i}(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}) \geq b_{2i}(B_n)$, $b_8(S_{10}^5) > b_8(B_{10})$, and $b_{10}(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}) > b_{10}(B_n)$ when $n \geq 12$. The proof is thus complete. ∎

In the following, we will show that $E(A_n) < E(D_n)$ by using the Coulson integral formula method, which had successfully been applied to compare the energy of two given graphs by Huo et al., see [12]-[15]. Before proving it, we prepare some results as follows.

**Lemma 2.11.** [3] *Let $uv$ be an edge of $G$. Then*

$$\phi(G, x) = \phi(G - uv, x) - \phi(G - u - v, x) - 2 \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(uv)} \phi(G - C, x),$$

*where $\mathcal{C}(uv)$ is the set of cycles containing $uv$. In particular, if $uv$ is a pendent edge with pendent vertex $v$, then $\phi(G, x) = x\phi(G - v, x) - \phi(G - u - v, x)$.*

**Lemma 2.12.** [30] *For any real number $X > -1$, we have*

$$\frac{X}{1 + X} \leq \log(1 + X) \leq X.$$

*In particular, $\log(1 + X) < 0$ if and only if $X < 0$.*

**Lemma 2.13.** [8] *If $G_1$ and $G_2$ are two graphs with the same number of vertices, then*

$$E(G_1) - E(G_2) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \log\left|\frac{\phi(G_1, ix)}{\phi(G_2, ix)}\right| dx.$$

From Lemma 2.11, we can easily obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.14.** *$\phi(A_n, x) = (x^2-1)\phi(A_{n-2}, x) - x^2\phi(A_{n-4}, x)$ for $n \geq 8$, and $\phi(D_n, x) = (x^2-1)\phi(D_{n-2}, x) - x^2\phi(D_{n-4}, x)$ for $n \geq 10$.*

By some easy calculations, we have $\phi(A_6, x) = x^6 - 6x^4 + 6x^2$, $\phi(A_8, x) = x^8 - 8x^6 + 16x^4 - 6x^2$, $\phi(D_6, x) = x^6 - 6x^4 + 5x^2 - 1$ and $\phi(D_8, x) = x^8 - 8x^6 + 15x^4 - 8x^2 + 1$. Now for convenience, we define some notations as follows:

$$Y_1(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1 + \sqrt{x^4 - 6x^2 + 1}}{2}, \qquad Y_2(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1 - \sqrt{x^4 - 6x^2 + 1}}{2},$$
$$Z_1(x) = \frac{-x^2 - 1 + \sqrt{x^4 + 6x^2 + 1}}{2}, \qquad Z_2(x) = \frac{-x^2 - 1 - \sqrt{x^4 + 6x^2 + 1}}{2},$$
$$A_1(x) = \frac{\phi(A_8, x) - Y_2(x)\phi(A_6, x)}{(Y_1(x))^4 - (Y_1(x))^2x^2}, \qquad A_2(x) = \frac{\phi(A_8, x) - Y_1(x)\phi(A_6, x)}{(Y_2(x))^4 - (Y_2(x))^2x^2},$$
$$B_1(x) = \frac{\phi(D_8, x) - Y_2(x)\phi(D_6, x)}{(Y_1(x))^4 - (Y_1(x))^2x^2}, \qquad B_2(x) = \frac{\phi(D_8, x) - Y_1(x)\phi(D_6, x)}{(Y_2(x))^4 - (Y_2(x))^2x^2},$$

$$f_6(x) = x^6 + 6x^4 + 6x^2, \qquad f_8(x) = x^8 + 8x^6 + 16x^4 + 6x^2,$$
$$g_6(x) = x^6 + 6x^4 + 5x^2 + 1, \qquad g_8(x) = x^8 + 8x^6 + 15x^4 + 8x^2 + 1.$$

It is easy to check that $Y_1(ix) = Z_1(x)$, $Y_2(ix) = Z_2(x)$,

$$A_1(ix) = \frac{f_8(x) + Z_2(x)f_6(x)}{(Z_1(x))^4 + (Z_1(x))^2x^2}, \qquad A_2(ix) = \frac{f_8(x) + Z_1(x)f_6(x)}{(Z_2(x))^4 + (Z_2(x))^2x^2},$$
$$B_1(ix) = \frac{g_8(x) + Z_2(x)g_6(x)}{(Z_1(x))^4 + (Z_1(x))^2x^2}, \qquad B_2(ix) = \frac{g_8(x) + Z_1(x)g_6(x)}{(Z_2(x))^4 + (Z_2(x))^2x^2},$$

$Z_1(x) + Z_2(x) = -x^2 - 1$ and $Z_1(x)Z_2(x) = -x^2$. In addition, for $x > 0$, $0 < \frac{Z_1(x)}{x} < 1$; for $x < 0$, $-1 < \frac{Z_1(x)}{x} < 0$.

**Lemma 2.15.** *For $n \geq 6$ and $x \neq 0$, the characteristic polynomials of $A_n$ and $D_n$ have the following forms:*

$$\phi(A_n, x) = A_1(x)(Y_1(x))^{\frac{n}{2}} + A_2(x)(Y_2(x))^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

*and*

$$\phi(D_n, x) = B_1(x)(Y_1(x))^{\frac{n}{2}} + B_2(x)(Y_2(x))^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.14, we have that $\phi(A_n, x), \phi(D_n, x)$ satisfy the recursive formula $f(n,x) = (x^2-1)f(n-2,x) - x^2 f(n-4,x)$. Therefore, the form of the general solution of the linear homogeneous recursive relation is $f(n,x) = C_1(x)(Y_1(x))^{\frac{n}{2}} + C_2(x)(Y_2(x))^{\frac{n}{2}}$. By some simple calculations, together with the initial values $\phi(A_6,x)$ and $\phi(A_8,x)$ ($\phi(D_6,x)$ and $\phi(D_8,x)$, respectively), we can get that $C_i(x) = A_i(x)$ ($C_i(x) = B_i(x)$, respectively), $i = 1, 2$. ∎

**Theorem 2.16.** *$E(A_n) < E(D_n)$ for $n \geq 6$.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.13, we have

$$E(A_n) - E(D_n) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \log\left|\frac{\phi(A_n, ix)}{\phi(D_n, ix)}\right| dx.$$

From Lemma 2.15, we know that both $\phi(A_n, ix)$ and $\phi(D_n, ix)$ are polynomials of $x$ with all real coefficients. For convenience, we abbreviate $A_k(ix)$, $B_k(ix)$ and $Z_k(x)$ to $A_k$, $B_k$ and $C_k$ for $k = 1, 2$, and abbreviate $f_k(x)$ and $g_k(x)$ to $f_k$ and $g_k$ for $k = 6, 8$, respectively. In the following, we assume that $x \neq 0$. We distinguish two cases in terms of the parity of $n/2$.

**Case 1.** $n = 4k$ ($k \geq 2$). Notice that $Z_1 Z_2 = -x^2$. When $n \to \infty$,

$$\frac{\phi(A_n, ix)}{\phi(D_n, ix)} = \frac{A_1 Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}} + A_2 Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}}}{B_1 Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}} + B_2 Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}}} = \frac{A_2 + A_1(\frac{Z_1}{x})^n}{B_2 + B_1(\frac{Z_1}{x})^n} \to \frac{A_2}{B_2}.$$

We will show that

$$\log\left|\frac{\phi(A_n, ix)}{\phi(D_n, ix)}\right| < \log\left|\frac{A_2(ix)}{B_2(ix)}\right| = \log\frac{A_2}{B_2}.$$

Assume that

$$2\log\left|\frac{\phi(A_n, ix)}{\phi(D_n, ix)}\right| - 2\log\left|\frac{A_2}{B_2}\right| = \log\left(1 + \frac{F_1(n,x)}{G_1(n,x)}\right).$$

Then we get that $G_1(n,x) = (A_2(ix)\phi(D_n, ix))^2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(n,x) &= B_2^2(A_1 Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}} + A_2 Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}})^2 - A_2^2(B_1 Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}} + B_2 Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}})^2 \\ &= (A_1^2 B_2^2 - A_2^2 B_1^2) Z_1^n + 2A_2 B_2(A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1)(Z_1 Z_2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Z_1^n > 0$, $(Z_1 Z_2)^{\frac{n}{2}} = (-x^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} > 0$, $A_2 B_2 > 0$, and by some elementary calculations, we have

$$A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1 = \frac{(-3x^8 - 15x^6 - 8x^4)\sqrt{x^4 + 6x^2 + 1}}{x^6(x^4 + 6x^2 + 1)} < 0,$$

and

$$A_1B_2 + A_2B_1 = \frac{4x^4 + 17x^6 + 20x^8 + 3x^{10}}{x^6(x^4 + 6x^2 + 1)} > 0.$$

Hence by Lemma 2.12,

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \log\frac{A_2(ix)}{B_2(ix)}dx \le \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{A_2(ix)}{B_2(ix)} - 1\right)dx \doteq \frac{1}{\pi}(-0.8538292323) < 0.$$

Therefore,

$$E(A_n) - E(D_n) \le \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \log\frac{A_2(ix)}{B_2(ix)}dx < 0.$$

**Case 2.** $n = 4k + 2$ $(k \ge 1)$. We will show that $\log\left|\frac{\phi(A_n,ix)}{\phi(D_n,ix)}\right|$ is monotonically decreasing in $n$. Assume that

$$2\log\left|\frac{\phi(A_{n+4},ix)}{\phi(D_{n+4},ix)}\right| - 2\log\left|\frac{\phi(A_n,ix)}{\phi(D_n,ix)}\right| = \log\left(1 + \frac{F_2(n,x)}{G_2(n,x)}\right).$$

Then we can obtain that $G_2(n,x) = (\phi(A_n,ix)\phi(D_{n+4},ix))^2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(n,x) &= (\phi(A_{n+4},ix)\phi(B_n,ix))^2 - (\phi(A_n,ix)\phi(D_{n+4},ix))^2 \\ &= (A_1Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}+2} + A_2Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}+2})^2(B_1Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}} + B_2Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}})^2 \\ &\quad -(B_1Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}+2} + B_2Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}+2})^2(A_1Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}} + A_2Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}})^2 \\ &= -(A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)x^n(Z_1^2 - Z_2^2)\cdot F_3(n,x), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_3(n,x) = (A_1B_2 + A_2B_1)(Z_1Z_2)^{\frac{n}{2}}(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2) + 2A_1B_1Z_1^{n+2} + 2A_2B_2Z_2^{n+2}$. Since $A_1B_2 - A_2B_1 < 0$, $Z_1^2 - Z_2^2 < 0$ and $x^n > 0$, to prove $F_2(n,x) < 0$, it suffice to show that $F_3(n,x) > 0$. By some elementary calculations, we can get that

$$F_3(n,x) = \frac{2f_8g_8F_4(n,x) + 2f_6g_6F_5(n,x) + (f_8g_6 + f_6g_8)F_6(n,x)}{x^4 + 6x^2 + 1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(n,x) &= Z_1^{n-4} + Z_2^{n-4} - (Z_1Z_2)^{\frac{n}{2}-3}(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2) \\ &= (Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}-1} - Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}-1})(Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}-3} - Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}-3}), \\ F_5(n,x) &= Z_2^2Z_1^{n-4} + Z_1^2Z_2^{n-4} - (Z_1Z_2)^{\frac{n}{2}-2}(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2) \\ &= (Z_1Z_2)^2(Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}-2} - Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}-2})(Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}-4} - Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}-4}), \\ F_6(n,x) &= 2Z_2Z_1^{n-4} + 2Z_1Z_2^{n-4} - (Z_1 + Z_2)(Z_1Z_2)^{\frac{n}{2}-3}(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2) \\ &= Z_1Z_2[(Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}-1} - Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}-1})(Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}-4} - Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}-4}) + (Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}-3} - Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}-3})(Z_1^{\frac{n}{2}-2} - Z_2^{\frac{n}{2}-2})]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $Z_1 > 0$, $Z_2 < 0$, we have $Z_1^k - Z_2^k > 0$ when $k$ is odd. On the other hand, for $k \geq 1$, we have

$$Z_1^{2k} - Z_2^{2k} = Z_1^{2k}\left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{Z_1}\right)^{4k}\right] < 0.$$

Therefore, we have $F_4(n,x) \geq 0$, $F_5(n,x) \geq 0$, $F_6(n,x) > 0$, and so $F_3(n,x) > 0$ and $F_2(n,x) < 0$. Hence,

$$E(A_n) - E(D_n) \leq E(A_6) - E(D_6) \doteq 6.60272 - 7.20775 < 0.$$

The proof is thus complete. ∎

# 3 Main results

Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathbb{U}_n$ with vertex set $V$ and the unique cycle $C_g$. We use $M_G$ to denote one arbitrary selected prefect matching of $G$. Let $x, y \in V$. Denote by $d_G(x,y)$ ($d_G(x,C_g)$, respectively) the distance between vertex $x$ and $y$ ($C_g$, respectively). Define $d = d(G) = \max_{x\in V(G)}\{d_G(x,C_g)\}$, $V_1 = V_1(G) = \{x \in V | d_G(x,C_g) = d(G)\}$, and $t = t(G) = |V_1(G)|$. Clearly, the vertices in $V_1$ are pendent vertices when $d(G) \geq 1$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $n \geq 8$. Then $C_n \succ B_n$.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1,

$$b_{2i}(C_n) = \begin{cases} b_{2i}(P_n) + b_{2i-2}(P_{n-2}), & \text{if } 2i \neq n \\ b_{2i}(P_n) + b_{2i-2}(P_{n-2}) - 2, & \text{if } 2i = n \end{cases},$$

and

$$b_{2i}(B_n) = b_{2i}(G_1) + b_{2i-2}(K_2 \cup F_{n-4}) - 2b_{2i-4}(K_2 \cup F_{n-6}),$$

where $G_1$ is the tree of order $n$ obtained by attaching a path with 4 edges to one of the two vertices of degree 2 of $F_{n-4}$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $P_n \succ G_1$, $P_{n-2} \succ F_{n-2} \succ K_2 \cup F_{n-4}$. On the other hand, we have $b_n(C_n) = b_n(B_n)$ and $b_4(C_n) > b_4(B_n)$. Hence $C_n \succ B_n$. ∎

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let $G \in \mathbb{U}_n$, $g(G) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $g(G) \geq 8$, and $d(G) = 1$. Then $G \succ B_n$.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that $n \geq 10$ and $t(G)$ is even. If $t = g$, then $G = S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}$, and so $G \succ B_n$ by Lemma 2.10. So in the following, we suppose $2 \leq t \leq g - 2$.

Let $C_g = y_1y_2\dots y_g$, and $x_{k_1}y_{k_1}$, $x_{k_2}y_{k_2}$, $\dots$, $x_{k_t}y_{k_t}$ $(1 = k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_t)$ be all the edges outside $C_G$. Then there must exist an index $k_i$ such that $k_i + 1, k_i + 2 \pmod g$ are not in the set $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t\}$, that is $y_{k_i+1}y_{k_i+2} \in M_G$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $k_i = 1$. Since $G$ has a perfect matching, we have that $4 \le k_2 < k_3 < \cdots < k_t \le g$, and $k_2 - 3$ is odd, $k_{i+1} - k_i$ is odd for $2 \le i \le t-1$, and $g - k_t$ is even.

By Lemma 2.1, we have $b_{2i}(G) = b_{2i}(G - x_1) + b_{2i-2}(G - x_1 - y_1)$, and

$$b_{2i}(G - x_1) = \begin{cases} b_{2i}(G - x_1 - y_1y_2) + b_{2i-2}(G - x_1 - y_1 - y_2), & \text{if } 2i \neq g \\ b_{2i}(G - x_1 - y_1y_2) + b_{2i-2}(G - x_1 - y_1 - y_2) - 2, & \text{if } 2i = g \end{cases}.$$

Note that $G - x_1 - y_1$ is a conjugated tree of order $n-2$ with $\Delta \le 3$, by Lemma 2.6, $G - x_1 - y_1 \succeq F_{n-2}$.

Denote $T_1 = G - x_1 - y_1y_2$ and $T_2 = G - x_1 - y_1 - y_2$. Notice that if $k_{i+1} - k_i = 2k + 1 > 1$, then from $T_1$, we can obtain a different tree $T_2$ with $\Delta \le 3$ by carrying out $k$ steps of e.g.t. Therefore we can finally get $H_{n-1}$ from $T_1$ by carrying out e.g.t repeatedly, if necessary. By Lemma 2.3, we have $T_1 \succeq H_{n-1}$. Similarly, we can obtain that $T_2 \succeq H_{n-3}$. By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$b_{2i}(B_n) = b_{2i}(H_{n-1}) + 2b_{2i-2}(H_{n-3}) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}).$$

Hence for $2i \neq g$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i}(G) &\ge b_{2i}(H_{n-1}) + b_{2i-2}(F_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(H_{n-3}) \\ &= b_{2i}(H_{n-1}) + 2b_{2i-2}(H_{n-3}) + b_{2i-4}(F_{n-4}) \\ &= b_{2i}(B_n) + b_{2i-4}(F_{n-4}) - 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}) \\ &= b_{2i}(B_n) + b_{2i-4}(F_{n-6}) + b_{2i-8}(F_{n-8}) + b_{2i-8}(F_{n-10}) \\ &\ge b_{2i}(B_n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b_g(G) \ge b_g(B_n) + b_{g-4}(F_{n-6}) + b_{g-8}(F_{n-8}) + b_{g-8}(F_{n-10}) - 2 \ge b_g(B_n),$$

since $g \le n-2$, $b_{g-4}(F_{n-6}) \ge 1$ and $b_{g-8}(F_{n-8}) \ge 1$. On the other hand, it is obvious that $b_4(G) > b_4(B_n)$. Thus $G \succ B_n$. ∎

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $G \in \mathbb{U}_n$, $g(G) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $g(G) \ge 8$, and $d(G) = 2$. Then $G \succ B_n$.*

*Proof.* Let $C_g = z_1z_2\dots z_g$. We apply induction on $t$. Suppose $t = 1$. Assume that $d_G(x_1, z_1) = 2$ and $x_1y_1z_1$ be a path with length 2 in $G$. Then $d_G(y_1) = 2$ and $x_1y_1 \in M_G$. Since $G$ has a perfect matching, either $z_1z_2 \in M_G$ or $z_1z_g \in M_G$. We

may assume that $z_1z_2 \in M_G$. Let $E_1 = \{z_{i_1}y_{i_1}, z_{i_2}y_{i_2}, \ldots, z_{i_k}y_{i_k}\}$ $(i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k)$ be the set of all edges in $M_G \setminus x_1y_1$ outside $C_G$. If $E_1$ is not empty, then we have that $3 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq g$, and $i_1 - 3$ is even, $i_{j+1} - i_j$ is odd for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, and $g - i_k$ is even. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i}(G) &= b_{2i}(G - x_1) + b_{2i-2}(G - x_1 - y_1) \\ &= b_{2i}(G - x_1 - y_1) + b_{2i-2}(G - x_1 - y_1 - z_1) + b_{2i-2}(G - x_1 - y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $G_1 = G - x_1 - y_1$ and $G_2 = G - x_1 - y_1 - z_1$. Then $G_1 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-2}$ with $d(G_1) \leq 1$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have $G_1 \succ B_{n-2}$. By an argument similar to the proof in Lemma 3.2, we can obtain $G_2 \succeq H_{n-3}$. Therefore by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i}(G) &\geq b_{2i}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(H_{n-3}) \\ &= b_{2i}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(H_{n-5}) + 2b_{2i-4}(H_{n-7}) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}) \\ &= b_{2i}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(A_{n-4}) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}) \\ &= b_{2i}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-4}) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-8}) - 2b_{2i-8}(F_{n-12}) \\ &= b_{2i}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-4}) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-10}) + 2b_{2i-8}(F_{n-10}) \\ &= b_{2i}(B_n) + 2b_{2i-6}(F_{n-10}) + 2b_{2i-8}(F_{n-10}) \\ &\geq b_{2i}(B_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_1 \succ B_{n-2}$, there exist $i$ such that $b_{2i}(G) > b_{2i}(B_n)$. Hence $G \succ B_n$ for $t = 1$.

Assume now that $t \geq 2$ and the assertion holds for smaller values of $t$. Let $V_1(G) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t\}$, and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$, $x_iy_iz_{k_i}$ $(1 = k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_t \leq g)$ be a path with length 2 in $G$. Then $d_G(y_i) = 2$ and $x_iy_i \in M_G$. For convenience, let $k_{t+1} = k_1$. We consider the following two cases:

**Case 1.** There exist two indices $k_i$ and $k_{i+1}$ such that $z_{k_i}z_{k_{i+1}}$ is an edge on $C_g$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $k_i = 1, k_{i+1} = 2$. Now let $G'$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the edge $z_2y_2$ and adding one new edge $y_2y_1$. Then $G' \in \mathbb{U}_n$, and $d(G') = 3$.

**Claim 1.** $G \succ G'$.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i}(G) &= b_{2i}(G - x_2) + b_{2i-2}(G - \{x_2, y_2\}), \\ b_{2i}(G') &= b_{2i}(G' - x_2) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{x_2, y_2\}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i}(G - x_2) &= b_{2i}(G - \{x_2, x_1\}) + b_{2i-2}(G - \{x_2, x_1, y_1\}) \\ &= b_{2i}(G - \{x_2, x_1, y_2\}) + b_{2i-2}(G - \{x_2, x_1, y_2, z_2\}) \\ &\quad + b_{2i-2}(G - \{x_2, x_1, y_1, y_2\}) + b_{2i-4}(G - \{x_2, x_1, y_1, y_2, z_2\}), \\ b_{2i}(G' - x_2) &= b_{2i}(G' - \{x_2, x_1\}) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{x_2, x_1, y_1\}) \\ &= b_{2i}(G' - \{x_2, x_1, y_2\}) + 2b_{2i-2}(G' - \{x_2, x_1, y_2, y_1\}). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $G_3 = G - \{x_2, x_1, y_2, z_2\}$, $G_4 = G - \{x_2, x_1, y_1, y_2, z_2\}$ and $G_5 = G - \{x_2, x_1, y_2, y_1\}$. Since $G-\{x_2, y_2\} = G'-\{x_2, y_2\}$, $G-\{x_2, x_1, y_2\} = G'-\{x_2, x_1, y_2\}$, and $G - \{x_2, x_1, y_1, y_2\} = G' - \{x_2, x_1, y_2, y_1\}$, we have

$$b_{2i}(G) - b_{2i}(G') = b_{2i-2}(G_3) + b_{2i-4}(G_4) - b_{2i-2}(G_5).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2i-2}(G_5) &= b_{2i-2}(G_5 - \{z_1z_2\}) + b_{2i-4}(G_5 - \{z_1, z_2\}) - 2b_{2i-g}(G_5 - C_g) \\
&= b_{2i-2}(G_5 - \{z_1z_2, z_2z_3\}) + b_{2i-4}(G_5 - \{z_1z_2\} - \{z_2, z_3\}) \\
&\quad + b_{2i-4}(G_5 - \{z_1, z_2\}) - 2b_{2i-g}(G_5 - C_g) \\
&= b_{2i-2}(G_5 - \{z_2\}) + b_{2i-4}(G_5 - \{z_2, z_3\}) \\
&\quad + b_{2i-4}(G_5 - \{z_1, z_2\}) - 2b_{2i-g}(G_5 - C_g), \\
b_{2i-2}(G_3) &= b_{2i-2}(G_3 - \{y_1\}) + b_{2i-4}(G_3 - \{y_1, z_1\}) \\
&= b_{2i-2}(G_5 - \{z_2\}) + b_{2i-4}(G_5 - \{z_1, z_2\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $G_5 - \{z_2, z_3\} = G_4 - z_3$. Hence $G_4 \succ [G_5 - \{z_2, z_3\}] \cup K_1$ by Lemma 2.5. Therefore

$$b_{2i}(G) - b_{2i}(G') = b_{2i-4}(G_4) - b_{2i-4}(G_5 - \{z_2, z_3\}) + 2b_{2i-g}(G_5 - C_g) \geq 0,$$

and

$$b_6(G) - b_6(G') = b_2(G_4) - b_2(G_5 - \{z_2, z_3\}) > 0.$$

Thus the result $G \succ G'$ holds. ∎

Now it suffices to prove that $G' \succeq B_n$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2i}(G') &= b_{2i}(G' - x_2) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{x_2, y_2\}) \\
&= b_{2i}(G' - \{x_2, y_2\}) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{x_2, y_2, y_1\}) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{x_2, y_2\}) \\
&= b_{2i}(G' - \{x_2, y_2\}) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{x_2, y_2, y_1, x_1\}) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{x_2, y_2\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote $G_6 = G' - \{x_2, y_2\}$ and $G_7 = G' - \{x_2, y_2, y_1, x_1\}$. Then it is easy to see that $G_6 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-2}$, $G_7 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-4}$, and for $i = 6, 7$, we have $d(G_i) \leq 1$ or $d(G_i) = 2$ and $t(G_i) < t(G)$. Therefore by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and the induction hypothesis, $G_6 \succ B_{n-2}$, $G_7 \succ B_{n-4}$ and so we have $G' \succ B_n$.

**Case 2.** For the indices $1 = k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_t \leq g$, we have $k_{i+1} - k_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $k_t \leq g-2$. Since $G$ has a perfect matching, we may assume that $z_1z_2 \in M_G$, and $z_{k_i}w_{k_i} \in M_G$ for $2 \leq i \leq t$, where $w_{k_i} \in \{z_{k_i-1}, z_{k_i+1}\}$. Similarly, we have

$$b_{2i}(G) = b_{2i}(G - x_1 - y_1) + b_{2i-2}(G - x_1 - y_1 - z_1) + b_{2i-2}(G - x_1 - y_1),$$

and

$$G - x_1 - y_1 \succ B_{n-2}.$$

Denote $T = G - x_1 - y_1 - z_1$. Let $T_1$ be the tree obtained from $T$ by deleting the edge $x_iy_i$ and adding one new edge $x_iw_{k_i}$ $(2 \leq i \leq t)$, we say that $T_1$ is obtained from $T$ by Operation I.

**Claim 2.** $T \succ T_1$.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i}(T) &= b_{2i}(T - x_i) + b_{2i-2}(T - \{x_i, y_i\}), \\ b_{2i}(T_1) &= b_{2i}(T_1 - x_i) + b_{2i-2}(T_1 - \{x_i, w_{k_i}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $T - x_i = T_1 - x_i$, and $T_1 - \{x_i, w_{k_i}\}$ is isomorphic to a proper subgraph of $T - \{x_i, y_i\}$, then $T - \{x_i, y_i\} \succ T_1 - \{x_i, w_{k_i}\}$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore $T \succ T_1$. ∎

Let $T'$ be the tree obtained from $T$ by deleting $t-1$ edges $x_2y_2, x_3y_3, \ldots, x_ty_t$ and adding $t$ new edges $x_2w_{k_2}, x_3w_{k_3}, \ldots, x_tw_{k_t}$. Then from $T$ we can obtain $T'$ by applying Operation I $t-1$ times. By Claim 2, we have $T \succ T'$. Clearly, $T'$ is a tree with $\Delta \leq 3$. Now we can assume that $z_{j_1}, z_{j_2}, \ldots, z_{j_l}$ $(2 < j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_l \leq g)$ are all vertices with degree 3 in $T'$. Then we have $j_1 - 2$ is odd, $j_{i+1} - j_i$ is odd for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$, and $g - j_l$ is even. By an argument similar to the proof in Lemma 3.2, we can obtain $T' \succeq H_{n-3}$. Therefore we have $T \succ H_{n-3}$ and so similar to the above case $t = 1$, we can finally obtain $G \succ B_n$.

The proof is thus complete. ∎

Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathbb{U}_n$ with $g(G) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $d(G) \geq 3$. Suppose $C_g = z_1z_2 \ldots z_g$, $x_1, y_1 \in V_1(G)$, and $x_1x_2x_3 \ldots x_dz_1$, $y_1y_2x_3 \ldots x_dz_1$ be two paths with length $d$ in $G$. For convenience, denote $x_{d+1} = z_1$. If $G'$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting two edges $x_3y_2$, $y_2y_1$ and adding two new edges $y_1x_1$ and $y_2x_2$, then we say that $G'$ is obtained from $G$ by Operation II. Clearly, $G' \in \mathbb{U}_n$.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let $G$ be defined as above. If $G'$ is obtained from $G$ by Operation II, then $G \succ G'$.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i}(G) &= b_{2i}(G - y_1) + b_{2i-2}(G - \{y_1, y_2\}) \\ &= b_{2i}(G - \{y_1, y_2\}) + b_{2i-2}(G - \{y_1, y_2, x_3\}) + b_{2i-2}(G - \{y_1, y_2\}), \\ b_{2i}(G') &= b_{2i}(G' - y_1) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{y_1, x_1\}) \\ &= b_{2i}(G' - \{y_1, y_2\}) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{y_1, y_2, x_2) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{y_1, x_1\}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $G' - \{y_1, y_2\} = G - \{y_1, y_2\} \cong G' - \{y_1, x_1\}$. Let $G_1 = G - \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2i-2}(G - \{y_1, y_2, x_3\}) &= b_{2i-2}((G_1 - x_3) \cup K_2) \\ &= b_{2i-2}(G_1 - x_3) + b_{2i-4}(G_1 - x_3), \\ b_{2i-2}(G' - \{y_1, y_2, x_2\}) &= b_{2i-2}(G_1) \\ &= b_{2i-2}(G_1 - x_3) + b_{2i-4}(G_1 - \{x_3, x_4\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_1 - x_3 \succ (G_1 - \{x_3, x_4\}) \cup K_1$ by Lemma 2.5, we have $b_{2i}(G) \geq b_{2i}(G')$ and $b_6(G) > b_6(G')$. Thus the result $G \succ G'$ holds. ∎

Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathbb{U}_n$ with $g(G) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $d(G) = 3$. Suppose $C_g = z_1 z_2 \dots z_g$, $x_1, y_1 \in V_1(G)$, and $x_1 x_2 x_3 z_1$, $y_1 y_2 y_3 z_i$ $(2 \leq i \leq g)$ be two paths with length 3 in $G$, where $d_G(x_3) = d_G(y_3) = 2$. If $G'$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting two edges $y_1y_2$, $y_2y_3$ and adding two new edges $y_1x_1$ and $y_2x_2$, then we say that $G'$ is obtained from $G$ by Operation III. Clearly, $G' \in \mathbb{U}_n$.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let $G$ be defined as above. If $G'$ is obtained from $G$ by Operation III, then $G \succ G'$.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.4. ∎

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let $G \in \mathbb{U}_n$, $g(G) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $g(G) \geq 8$. Then $G \succ B_n$.*

*Proof.* We apply induction on $d$. As the case $d \leq 2$ was proved by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, we now suppose that $d \geq 3$ and the assertion holds for smaller values of $d$.

Let $C_g = z_1 z_2 \dots z_g$. Assume that $d_G(x_1, z_1) = d$ and $x_1 x_2 \dots x_d z_1$ be a path with length $d$ in $G$. Then $d_G(x_2) = 2$ and $x_1x_2 \in M_G$. For convenience, denote $x_{d+1} = z_1$. Let $G_1 = G - \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $G_2 = G - \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$b_{2i}(G) = b_{2i}(G_1) + b_{2i-2}(G_1) + b_{2i-2}(G_2). \tag{3}$$

Note that

$$b_{2i}(B_n) = b_{2i}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-2}) + b_{2i-2}(B_{n-4}) \ (n \geq 10). \tag{4}$$

Now we prove the result for the given $d$ by induction on $t$.

**Case 1.** Suppose $t = 1$.

**Subcase 1.1.** $d_G(x_3) = d_G(x_4) = 2$.

Note that $x_3x_4 \in M_G$. It is easy to see that $G_1 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-2}$, $G_2 - x_4 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-4}$, $d(G_1) < d$ and $d(G_2 - x_4) < d$. So by the induction hypothesis, $G_1 \succeq B_{n-2}$, $G_2 - x_4 \succeq B_{n-4}$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $G_2 \succ (G_2 - x_4) \cup K_1$, and so $G_2 \succ B_{n-4} \cup K_1$. It follows from Eqs. (3) and (4) that $G \succ B_n$.

**Subcase 1.2.** $d_G(x_3) = 2, d_G(x_4) = 3$ and $d > 3$.

Note that $x_3x_4 \in M_G$. Suppose $y_2 \notin \{x_3, x_5\}$ is a neighbor of $x_4$, and $y_1y_2 \in M_G$. Since $t = 1$, we have $d_G(y_1) = 1$ and $d_G(y_2) = 2$. Then we have $G_2 - y_1 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-4}$ since $(M_G \setminus (\{x_1x_2, x_3x_4, y_1y_2\}) \cup \{y_2x_4\}$ is a perfect matching of $G_2 - y_1$. Therefore similar to Subcase 1.1, we have $G_1 \succeq B_{n-2}$ and $G_2 \succ B_{n-4} \cup K_1$, and so $G \succ B_n$.

**Subcase 1.3.** $d_G(x_3) = 2, d_G(x_4) = 3$ and $d = 3$, i.e., $x_4 = z_1$.

Note that $x_3x_4 \in M_G$. Since $G$ has a perfect matching, $g(G) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, there exist $k \geq 1$ pendent edges $z_{i_1}y_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_k}y_{i_k}$ $(2 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq g)$ such that $k$ is odd and $z_{i_k}y_{i_k} \in M_G$. Then we have $G_2 - y_{i_1} \in \mathbb{U}_{n-4}$, since

$$(M_G \setminus \{x_1x_2, x_3x_4, z_{i_1}y_{i_1}, z_2z_3, z_4z_5, \ldots, z_{i_1-2}z_{i_1-1}\}) \cup \{z_1z_2, z_3z_4, \ldots, z_{i_1-1}z_{i_1}\}$$

is a perfect matching of $G_2 - y_{i_1}$. Therefore similarly, we have $G_1 \succeq B_{n-2}$ and $G_2 \succ B_{n-4} \cup K_1$, and so $G \succ B_n$.

**Subcase 1.4.** $d_G(x_3) = 3$.

Since $t = 1$, $x_3x_4 \notin M_G$, we assume that $x_3y_1 \in M_G$. Then $d_G(y_1) = 1$, $d_{G_2}(y_1) = 0$ and $G_2 - y_1 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-4}$. Therefore similarly, we have $G_1 \succ B_{n-2}$ and $G_2 \succeq B_{n-4} \cup K_1$, and so $G \succ B_n$.

**Case 2.** Assume now that $t \geq 2$ and the assertion holds for smaller values of $t$. Note that $G_1 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-2}$ with $d(G_1) = d$ and $t(G_1) < t$. By the induction hypothesis, $G_1 \succeq B_{n-2}$.

**Subcase 2.1.** $d_G(x_3) = d_G(x_4) = 2$.

The proof is similar to that of Subcases 1.1.

**Subcase 2.2.** $d_G(x_3) = 3$ and $x_3x_4 \notin M_G$.

The proof is similar to that of Subcases 1.4.

**Subcase 2.3.** $d_G(x_3) = 2$, $d_G(x_4) = 3$, and $d > 3$.

Suppose $y_2 \notin \{x_3, x_5\}$ is a neighbor of $x_4$, and $y_1y_2 \in M_G$. If $d_G(y_2) = 3$, let $y_3 \notin \{x_4, y_1\}$ be a neighbor of $y_2$, and $y_4y_3 \in M_G$. Then we have $d_G(y_1) = d_G(y_4) = 1$, $d_G(y_3) = 2$, and $G_2 - y_1 \in \mathbb{U}_{n-4}$, since $(M_G \setminus (\{x_1x_2, x_3x_4, y_1y_2\}) \cup \{y_2x_4\}$ is a perfect matching of $G_2 - y_1$. Therefore similarly, we have $G_2 \succ B_{n-4} \cup K_1$, and so $G \succ B_n$.

**Subcase 2.4.** $d_G(x_3) = 3$ and $x_3x_4 \in M_G$.

Suppose $y_2 \notin \{x_2, x_4\}$ is a neighbor of $x_3$, and $y_1y_2 \in M_G$. Then we have $d_G(y_1) = 1$ and $d_G(y_2) = 2$. Let $G'$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by Operation II. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $G \succ G'$. Similarly, we have

$$b_{2i}(G') = b_{2i}(G' - \{y_1, x_1\}) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{y_1, x_1\}) + b_{2i-2}(G' - \{y_1, x_1, x_2, y_2\}),$$

and $G' - \{y_1, x_1\} \succ B_{n-2}$, and $G' - \{y_1, x_1, x_2, y_2\} \succeq B_{n-4}$. Therefore $G' \succ B_n$.

**Subcase 2.5.** $d_G(x_3) = 2$ and $d = 3$.

Now $x_4 = z_1$. Since $t \geq 2$, suppose that $y_1 \in V_1(G)$ and $y_1y_2y_3z_i (i \neq 2)$ be a path with length 3 in $G$. Then by the above subcases, we may assume that $d_G(y_3) = 2$.

Let $G'$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by Operation III, then we have $G \succ G'$ by Lemma 3.5. And similar to the Subcase 2.4, we have $G' \succ B_n$.

The proof is thus complete. ∎




Figure 4: The graphs considered in Theorem 3.7.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let $G \in \mathbb{U}_n$, $g(G) = 4$, $G \ncong A_n$. If there are just two edges of $M_G$ in $C_4$, then $G \succ A_n$.*

*Proof.* We apply induction on $d$. Suppose $G \ncong A_n$ is a graph in $\mathbb{U}_n$ with $g = 4$, and there are just two edges of $M_G$ in $C_4$. For $d \leq 1$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose $d = 2$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following graphs $B_8$, $I_1$, $I_2$ and $I_3$, as shown in Figure 4. By Lemma 2.8, we have $B_8 \succ A_8$. It is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(I_1, x) &= x^8 - 8x^6 + 16x^4 - 9x^2, \\
\phi(I_2, x) &= x^{10} - 10x^8 + 30x^6 - 34x^4 + 12x^2, \\
\phi(I_3, x) &= x^{12} - 12x^{10} + 48x^8 - 84x^6 + 64x^4 - 16x^2, \\
\phi(A_8, x) &= x^8 - 8x^6 + 16x^4 - 6x^2, \\
\phi(A_{10}, x) &= x^{10} - 10x^8 + 30x^6 - 28x^4 + 6x^2, \\
\phi(A_{12}, x) &= x^{12} - 12x^{10} + 48x^8 - 74x^6 + 40x^4 - 6x^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $I_1 \succ A_8$, $I_2 \succ A_{10}$, and $I_3 \succ A_{12}$. Now suppose that $d \geq 3$ and the assertion holds for smaller values of $d$. By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6, we can obtain $G \succ A_n$. ∎




Figure 5: The graphs considered in Theorem 3.8.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let $G \in \mathbb{U}_n$, $g(G) = 4$, $G \ncong D_n$. If there is just one edge of $M_G$ in $C_4$, then $G \succ D_n$.*

*Proof.* We apply induction on $d$. For $d \leq 1$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose $d = 2$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $I_4$, as shown in Figure 5. It is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(I_4, x) &= x^{10} - 10x^8 + 29x^6 - 32x^4 + 12x^2 - 1, \\
\phi(D_{10}, x) &= x^{10} - 10x^8 + 29x^6 - 28x^4 + 10x^2 - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $I_4 \succ D_{10}$.

Now suppose that $d \geq 3$ and the assertion holds for smaller values of $d$. We use the same notations as in Theorem 3.6. Then the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.6. We can divide two cases $x_d z_1 \in M_g$ and $x_d z_1 \notin M_g$ to proceed. The difference is that we need to prove the result $G \succ D_n$ for the case: $d = 3$, $t = 1$, $d_G(x_3) = 2$ and $z_2 z_3 \in M_4$. Since $G \ncong D_n$, $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following graphs $I_5$, $I_6$ and $I_7$, as shown in Figure 5. It is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(I_5, x) &= x^{10} - 10x^8 + 30x^6 - 33x^4 + 11x^2 - 1, \\
\phi(I_6, x) &= x^{10} - 10x^8 + 30x^6 - 33x^4 + 12x^2 - 1, \\
\phi(I_7, x) &= x^{12} - 12x^{10} + 48x^8 - 83x^6 + 62x^4 - 16x^2 + 1, \\
\phi(D_{12}, x) &= x^{12} - 12x^{10} + 47x^8 - 72x^6 + 46x^4 - 12x^2 + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $I_5 \succ D_{10}$, $I_6 \succ D_{10}$ and $I_7 \succ D_{12}$. ∎

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let $G \in \mathbb{U}_n$, $g(G) = 4$, $G \ncong E_n$. If there are no edges of $M_G$ in $C_4$, then $G \succ E_n$.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.6. ∎

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Notice that $E_8 \cong S_8^4$. The proof follows directly from Lemma 1.1, Theorems 3.6-3.9, 2.8-2.10, and 2.16. ∎

**Acknowledgments**

The first author is supported by NNSFC (Nos. 11101351 and 11171288) and NSF of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (No. 11KJB110014); the second author is supported by NNSFC (No. 11101351); and the third author is supported by NNSFC (Nos. 11326216 and 11301306).

# References

[1] S. Bozkurt, D. Bozkurt, Sharp upper bounds for energy and Randić energy, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 70 (2013) 669–680.

[2] Y. Cao, A. Lin, R. Luo, X. Zha, On the minimal energy of unicyclic Hückel molecular graphs possessing Kekulé structures, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 157 (2009) 913–919.

[3] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs – Theory and Application*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[4] K. Das, S. Mojallal, Upper bounds for the energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 70 (2013) 657–662.

[5] K. Das, S. Mojallal, I. Gutman, Improving McClellands lower bound for energy, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 70 (2013) 663–668.

[6] M. Ghorbani, M. Faghani, A. Ashrafi, S. Heidari-Rad, A. Graovac, An upper bound for energy of matrices associated to an infinite class of fullerenes, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 71 (2014) 341–354.

[7] I. Gutman, Acyclic systems with extremal Hückel $\pi$-electron energy of trees, *Theoret. Chim. Acta.* 45 (1977) 79–87.

[8] I. Gutman, The Energy of a Graph: Old and New Results, in: A. Betten, A. Kohn- ert, R. Laue, A. Wassermann (Eds.), *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, pp. 196–211.

[9] I. Gutman, X. Li, J. Zhang, Graph Energy, in: M. Dehmer, F. Emmert-Streib (Eds.), *Analysis of Complex Networks: From Biology to Linguistics*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009, 145–174.

[10] I. Gutman, O. E. Polansky, *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[11] Y. Hou, Unicyclic graphs with minimal energy, *J. Math. Chem.* 29 (2001) 163–168.

[12] B. Huo, S. Ji, X. Li, Y. Shi, Complete solution to a conjecture on the fourth maximal energy tree, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 66 (2011) 903–912.

[13] B. Huo, S. Ji, X. Li, Y. Shi, Complete Solution to a problem on the maximal energy of bicyclic bipartite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435 (2011) 804–810.

[14] B. Huo, X. Li, Y. Shi, Complete solution to a problem on the maximal energy of unicyclic bipartite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 434 (2011) 1370–1377.

[15] B. Huo, X. Li, Y. Shi, Complete solution to a conjecture on the maximal energy of uncicyclic graphs, *European J. Combin.* 32 (2011) 662–673.

[16] X. Li, Y. Li, Note on conjugated unicyclic graphs with minimal energy, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 64 (2010) 141–144.

[17] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.

[18] X. Li, Y. Shi, M. Wei, J. Li, On a conjecture about tricyclic graphs with maximal energy, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 72 (2014) 183–214.

[19] X. Li, J. Zhang, B. Zhou, On unicyclic conjugated molecules with minimal energies, *J. Math. Chem.* 42 (2007) 729–740.

[20] F. Li, B. Zhou, Minimal energy of unicyclic graphs of a given diameter, *J. Math. Chem.* 43 (2008) 476–484.

[21] W. Lin, X. Guo, H. Li, On the extremal energies of trees with a given maximum degree, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 54 (2005) 363–378.

[22] I. Milovanović, E. Milovanović, A. Zakić, A short note on graph energy, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 72 (2014) 179–182.

[23] H. Shan, J. Shao, F. Gong, Y. Liu, An edge grafting theorem on the energy of unicyclic and bipartite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 433 (2010) 547–556.

[24] W. Wang, Ordering of unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings by minimal energies, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 66 (2011) 927–942.

[25] W. Wang, A. Chang, D. Lu, Unicyclic graphs possessing Kekulé structures with minimal energy, *J. Math. Chem.* 42 (2007) 311–320.

[26] W. Wang, A. Chang, L. Zhang, D. Lu, Unicyclic Hückel molecular graphs with minimal energy, *J. Math. Chem.* 39 (2006) 231–241.

[27] G. Xu, On the spectral radius of trees with perfect matchings, in: *Combinatorics and Graph Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.

[28] F. Zhang, H. Li, On acyclic conjugated molecules with minimal energies, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 92 (1999) 71–84.

[29] J. Zhu, On minimal energies of unicyclic graphs with perfect matching, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 70 (2013) 97–118.

[30] V.A. Zorich, *Mathematical Analysis*, MCCME, 2002.